Management of Optiflow Therapy By A Critical Care Outreach Service And Analysis Of Patient Outcomes

Rosie Zippo and Suzy Hughes Kings College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust









Background

• 'iMobile' multidisciplinary CCOT at Kings and PRUH sites

 HFNC~ Optiflow offered as a ward based intervention managed entirely by iMobile team

- Beneficial for:
 - Type One Respiratory Failure
 - Symptomatic Relief of Dyspnoea









Background continued..

- Benefits of ward based treatment;
 - Trial of therapy
 - Potential avoidance of critical care admission
 - Available to patients with a ceiling of ward based care
 - Intensive input from critical care team









Aim of Audit

To measure patient outcomes to ensure that ward based management of Optiflow therapy is beneficial to patients and to the service.









Methods

 Retrospective Audit of all patients treated with Optiflow over 1 year at DH site (2015-2016)

- Sub categorised according to treatment escalation plans;
 - Full escalation
 - Level 2 ceiling of treatment
 - Ward based ceiling of treatment
- Analysis of;
 - Critical care admission rates (for those eligible),
 - Patient outcomes
 - Survival to discharge home







Results

- 368 patients were treated with Optiflow. 19 had unknown escalation plans.
- 269 were for full escalation
 - 162 (60%) required critical care admission; 77% survived
 - 107 (40%) were managed entirely on the ward; 95% survived
- 28 had level 2 ceilings of treatment
 - 9 (32%) required critical care admission; 44% survived
 - 19 (68%) were managed entirely on the ward; 74% survived
- 50 had ward based ceilings of treatment
 - Despite this 24% were admitted to critical care, 50% survived
 - 38 (76%) were not admitted, 2 were palliative. 45% survived.







Implications for Practice

- Successful outcome data for all categories of patients
- Ward based optiflow effective with intensive and exclusive management by a multidisciplinary CCOT
- Treatment offered to those who would otherwise not have been eligible for respiratory intervention
- Comparison data with those admitted directly to critical care would be useful
- Data to analyse outcomes post hospital discharge would be useful
- Further research to compare standard symptom control with Optiflow at end of life







I IIII IIII IIII KING'S HEALTH PARTNERS

rzippo@nhs.net

suzannahhughes@nhs.net









References

• Peters, S., Holets., Gay, P (2013) High-Flow Nasal Cannula in Do-Not-Intubate Patients with Hyperaemic Respiratory Distress, *Respiratory Care*, 58 (4) 597-600

• Sztrymf, B., Messika, J., Bertrand, F., Hurel, D., Leon., Dreyfuss, D., Ricard, JD (2011) Beneficial Effects of Humidified High Flow Nasal Oxygen in Critical Care Patients: A Prospective Pilot Study, *Intensive Care Medicine*, 37 1780-1786

• Frat, JP., Thille, AW., Mercat, A., Girautt, C., Ragot, S., Perbert, S., Prat, G., Boulain, T., Moraweic, E., Cottereau, A., Devaquet, J., Nseirs, S., Razazi, K., Mira, JP., Argaud, L., Chakarion, JC., Ricard, JD., Wittebole, X., Chevalier, S., Herbland, A., Fartoukh, M., Constantin, JM., Tonnelier, JM., Pierrot, M., Richard, JCM., Brochard, L., Robert, R (2015) High Flow Oxygen Through Nasal Cannual in Acute Hypoxaemic Respiratory Failure, *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 372 2185-2196







